Article

A Model Study toward the Total Synthesis of N-Deacetyllappaconitine

Douglass F. Taber,* Jiang-Lin Liang, Bei Chen, and Lisi Cai

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716

taberdf@udel.edu

Received June 8, 2005



A model study leading to the preparation of the AEF rings of *N*-deacetyllappaconitine is described. The conjugate addition to the α -alkyl cyclohexenone **10** proceeded with high diastereocontrol. The Mannich cyclization of **16** to **4** was accomplished by heating with Rexyn-300 and Na₂SO₄.

Introduction

The hexacyclic *N*-deacetyllappaconitine **1** is a cardioactive agent that is representative of the diterpenoid *Delphinium* alkaloids.¹ This intriguing skeleton, which includes 6 rings and 12 stereogenic centers, is a substantial challenge for organic synthesis. Despite the potent physiological activity of this class of alkaloids,² only a little progress³ has been reported toward a practical preparation of this family of alkaloids since the landmark (56 steps) synthesis of the first aconite by Wiesner⁴ more than 25 years ago.



The intramolecular Mannich reaction is a powerful strategy for the assembly of complex ring systems.⁵ For

SCHEME 1



the synthesis of the diterpene alkaloid 1 (Scheme 1), our plan is to use a tandem aldol/Mannich cyclization $(3 \rightarrow 2)$ as the key step. To explore the proposed Mannich cyclization, we have carried out a model study $(5 \rightarrow 4)$ using an isopropyl group as a surrogate for the right bicyclic fragment of 3. The compound 4 incorporates the A, E, and F rings of *N*-deacetyllappaconitine.

⁽¹⁾ For the isolation and physiological activity of *N*-deacetyllappaconitine, see: Chiao, H.; Pelletier, S. W.; Desai, H. K.; Rebagay, W. R.; Caldwell, R. W. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1995**, *283*, 103.

⁽²⁾ For leading references to the pharmacological activity of *N*-deacetyllappaconitine, see: Atta-ur-Rahman; Choudhary, M. I. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **1999**, *16*, 619.

⁽³⁾ Further efforts have been limited to systems having two or three of the six rings of the *Delphinium* alkaloids. For construction of the AEF rings, see: (a) Shishido, K.; Hiroya, K.; Fukumoto, K.; Kametani, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 1167. For construction of the AE rings, see: (b) Callis, D. J.; Thomas, N. F.; Pearson, D. P. J.; Potter, B. V. L. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, *61*, 4634. For construction of the ABE rings, see: (c) Kraus, G. A.; Dnepprovskaia, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 2451.
(d) Williams, C. M.; Mander, L. N.; Bernhardt, P. V.; Willis, A. C. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 3759. For construction of the ABCE rings, see: (e) Williams, C. M.; Mander, L. N. Org. Lett. **2003**, *5*, 3499. For a review of the chemistry of the *Delphinium* alkaloids, see: (f) Atta-ur-Rahman; Choudhary, M. I. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **1995**, *12*, 361.

^{(4) (}a) For the only total synthesis of the aconite skeleton reported to date, see: Wiesner, K. *Pure App. Chem.* **1979**, *51*, 689. (b) This synthesis was selected as one of the most important in the last century: Nicolaou, K. C.; Vourloumis, D.; Winssinger, N.; Baran, P. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, *39*, 44.

^{(5) (}a) For reviews of the intramolecular Mannich reaction, see: (a) Overman, L. E.; Ricca, D. J. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Heathcock, C. H., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 2, p 1007. (b) Arend, M.; Westermann, B.; Risch, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1044. For recent examples of total synthesis employing intramolecular Mannich cyclization, see: (c) Paquette, L. A.; Backhaus, D.; Braun, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11990. (d) Martin, S. F.; Barr, K. J.; Smith, D. W.; Bur, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 15284. (e) Toczko, M. A.; Heathcock, C. H. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 2642. (f) Kende, A. S.; Martin Hernando, J. I.; Milbank, J. B. J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2505. (g) Brueggemann, M.; McDonald, A. I.; Overman, L. E.; Rosen, M. D.; Schwink, L.; Scott, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 15284.

SCHEME 2





Results and Discussion

Intramolecular Alkylidene Carbene Insertion To Form 10. The route toward the Mannich precursor 5 began with the phosphonium salt 6 (Scheme 2), which is easily prepared in large scale from racemic 1,2,4-butanetriol (both enantiomerically pure 1,2,4-butanetriols are also commercially available).⁶ Recently, we reported the preparation of ketones by the condensation of phosphonium salts with nitriles,⁷ following an improvement of the McEwen procedure.⁸ We have since found (Supporting Information) that including an additional stoichiometric amount of LiI improved the yield of the ketone 7 from 61% to 84%. The ketone 7 was cyclized via intramolecular alkylidene carbene C-H insertion to give 8.9 Ozonolysis followed by aldol condensation then gave the cyclohexenone 10.

Conjugate Addition to the α -Alkyl Cyclohexenone 10. Several years ago, we reported that excellent diastereoselectivity could be achieved for the chlorotriethylsilane-promoted conjugate addition of cuprates to the cyclohexenone 12 to give 13 (Scheme 3, diastereomer ratio 93:7).¹⁰ The presence of the alkyl group on the

(10) Taber, D. F.; Christos, T. E.; Rahimizadeh, M.; Chen, B. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5911.

SCHEME 4



 α -position of the enone makes the conjugate addition to 10 more difficult. To our delight, Cu-mediated addition of the Grignard reagent derived from 4-bromo-1-butene proceeded smoothly to give 11 as a single diastereomer, in 78% yield from 10, under the same conditions as described before. The relative configuration achieved was that needed for the Mannich cyclization. This unique conjugate addition forms two stereocenters in one step with high stereoselectivity. Thus, intramolecular carbene insertion and conjugate addition combine to form a powerful approach for the stereoselective synthesis of such multisubstituted cyclohexanones.

Mannich Cyclization. With the correct relative congifuration of **11** in hand, deprotection (Scheme 4) with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) gave the diol 14 as an inconsequential mixture of two diastereomers. Monotosylation of 14 afforded 15. Ozonolysis of 15 gave an aldehyde that subsequently cyclized with the tertiary alcohol to form a hemiacetal intermediate. This intermediate was used for the Mannich cyclization without further purification.

Our initial attempts at Mannich cyclization were not encouraging. On exposure to ethylamine, the hemiacetal was converted to the Mannich base 16 (90%), but only a trace amount of the desired product 4 (2%) could be isolated. Dehydration of the Mannich base 16 to form the cyclized product 4 proved elusive. TsOH is a very efficient reagent for the intermolecular Mannich reaction,¹¹ but no reaction was observed even when a stoichiometric amount of TsOH was added to 16, with or without heating. Lewis acids, such as LiClO₄ or Al(Et)₂Cl, can prompt a Mannich base to form the cyclized product.¹² However, Lewis acids also did not effect the dehydration of 16.

Fortunately, we found that Rexyn-300, a commercial resin that contains both strong acid and strong base

⁽⁶⁾ Taber, D. F.; Xu, M.; Hartnett, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13121.

⁽⁷⁾ Taber, D. F.; Cai, L. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4887.
(8) Barnhardt, R. G.; McEwen, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 7009.

⁽⁹⁾ For the development of trimethylsilyldiazomethyllithium for the cyclization of a ketone to the corresponding cyclopentene, see: (a) Ohira, S.; Okai, K.; Moritani, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 721. (b) Ohira, S.; Moritani, T.; Ida, T.; Yamato, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1299. (c) Taber, D. F.; Meagley, R. P. Tetra-hedron Lett. 1994, 35, 7909. (d) Taber, D. F.; Walter, R.; Meagley, R. P. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6014. (e) Ohira, S.; Sawamoto, T.; Yamato, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 1537. (f) Taber, D. F.; Christos, T. E.,; Hodge, C. N. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 2181. (g) Taber, D. F.; Meagley, R. P.; Doren, D. J. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 5723. (h) Taber, D. F.; Christos, T. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 4927. (i) Taber, D. F.; Yu, H.; Incarvito, C. D.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 13285. (j) Taber, D. F.; Christos, T. E.; Rheingold, A. L.; Guzei, I. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5589. (k) Sakai, A.; Aoyama, T.; Shiori, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4927. (1) Taber, D. F.; Storck, P. H. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7768.

⁽¹¹⁾ Bobowski, G.; Shavel, J. J. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1980, 17, 519. (12) For examples of Lewis acid promoted Mannich reactions, see: (a) Grieco, P. A.; Clark, J. D.; Jagoe, C. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5488. (b) Saidi, M. R.; Heydari, A.; Ipaktschi, J. Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 1761. (c) Martin, S. F.; Bur, S. K. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 7641. (d) Bur, S. K.; Martin, S. F. Tetrahedron **2001**, 57, 3221. (e) Tremblay, J.-P.; Raeppel, S.; Gaudette, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 3471.

groups, converted **16** into the cyclized product **4**. High temperatures were required (sealed tube, 170 °C, 8 h), as well as the addition of Na_2SO_4 as a water sequestering agent. In addition to **4**, a small quantity of **16** (10% based on **15**) was recovered from the cyclization.

Conclusion

The synthesis of the model intermediate cyclohexanone 11 has been successfully accomplished using an alkylidene carbene insertion/ozonolysis/aldol/conjugate addition sequence. The conjugate addition to the α -alkyl cyclohexenone proceeded with high diastereocontrol, so this strategy can be used for the construction of multisubstituted cyclohexanones. The Mannich cyclization was realized in the presence of Rexyn-300 and Na₂SO₄ at elevated temperature by dehydration of the intermediate Mannich base 16. The synthesis of the Mannich product 4 containing the A, E, and F rings of *N*-deacetyllappaconitine took nine steps with 6.9% overall yield, starting from ketone 7. Our efforts toward the enantioselective total synthesis of *N*-deacetyllappaconitine are continuing.

Experimental Section

Cyclohexanone (11). A mixture of CuBr·Me₂S (1.77 g, 8.6 mmol) and LiCl (364 mg, 8.6 mmol) in THF (33 mL) was purged with N_2 for 15 min. The mixture was chilled to -78°C, and 3-butenylmagnesium bromide (0.65 M in THF, 13 mL, 8.45 mmol) was added. After a few minutes, TESCl (1.5 mL, 8.6 mmol) was added, followed immediately by the addition of the cyclohexenone 10 (1.00 g, 4.4 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 15 min and then warmed to -30 °C over 0.5 h. After being stirred at -30°C for 15 min, the mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and Et₂O. The combined organic extract was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The residue was redissolved in THF (10 mL), and TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 5 mL, 5 mmol) was added. After being stirred for 0.5 h at rt, the mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and Et₂O. The combined organic extract was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to afford cyclohexanone 11 (960 mg, 3.4 mmol, 78% yield) as a colorless oil: TLC $R_f = 0.44$ (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.79 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.05-1.15 (m, 2H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.83-1.96 (m, 3H), 2.05–2.15 (m, 4H), 2.52–2.58 (m, 1H), 2.82–2.90 (m, 1H), 3.78-3.83 (m, 2H), 4.99-5.04 (m, 2H), 5.65-5.73 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) & u 116.3, 110.2, 82.8, 73.8, 35.6, 34.3, 32.5, 30.9, 6.2; d 137.9, 63.0, 46.4, 28.7, 26.6, 22.0, 21.7, 7.0; IR (cm⁻¹) 1708, 1370, 1211, 1059; HRMS calcd for C₁₇H₂₈O₃ (M) 280.2038, found 280.2035.

Diol (14). To the cyclohexanone **11** (500 mg, 1.79 mmol) in THF/H₂O (4:1, 10 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (1 mL). The mixture was warmed to reflux for 4 h and then cooled. Et₃N (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and ethyl acetate. The combined organic extract was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to give an inseparable mixture of diols 14 (2:1 mixture of diastereomers, 260 mg, 1.1 mmol, 68% yield based on 90% conversion) as a colorless oil, TLC $R_f = 0.15$ (50% Et₂O/petroleum ether). Starting material **11** (50 mg, 0.18 mmol, 10%) was also recovered. For 14: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.80 (major) (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 of 3H), 0.85 (minor) (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 of 3H),0.92 (minor) (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1 of 3H), 1.00 (major) (d, J = 6.5 minor)Hz, 2 of 3H), 1.02-1.07 (m, 1H), 1.34-1.43 (m, 1H), 1.75-2.21 (m, 7H), 2.44 (s, 1H), 2.61-2.72 (m, 2H), 2.85-2.92 (m, 1H), 3.50 (t, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (t, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.95- $5.04 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 5.67 - 5.77 \text{ (m, 1H)}; {}^{13}\text{C NMR} \text{ (CDCl}_3, 100 \text{ MHz})$ δ u (major) 216.6, 115.8, 73.9, 68.5, 34.8, 32.0, 31.7, 29.5; (minor) 214.4, 115.3, 74.3, 67.5, 38.6, 34.3, 32.3, 25.8; d (major) 137.9, 62.3, 43.8, 29.6, 21.9, 21.8; (minor) 138.3, 56.3, 46.7, 23.6, 22.5, 19.8; IR (cm^{-1}) 3433, 1693, 1045; HRMS calcd for C₁₄H₂₄NaO₃ (M + Na) 263.1623, found 263.1614.

Monotosylate (15). A mixture of the diol 14 (300 mg, 1.25 mmol), DMAP (15.3 mg, 0.125 mmol), and Et₃N (0.52 mL, 3.75 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6.3 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. TsCl (357.5 mg, 1.88 mmol) was added in portions over 15 min, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h. The mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and Et₂O. The combined organic extract was dried (Na₂SO₄) and then concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to provide monotosylate 15 (inseparable 2:1 mixture of diastereomers, 232 mg, 0.59 mmol, 63% yield based on 75% conversion) as a colorless oil: TLC $R_f = 0.37$ (50% Et₂O/petroleum ether). Starting material 14 (75 mg, 0.19 mmol, 25%) was also recovered. For 15: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.78 (major) (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 of 3H), 0.81 (minor) (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 of 3H),0.90 (minor) (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 of 3H), 1.00 (major) (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2 of 3H), 1.02-1.07 (m, 1H), 1.12-1.32 (m, 1H), 1.71-2.20 (m, 7H), 2.47-2.80 (m, 5H), 2.87-2.94 (m, 1H), 3.92-4.03 (m, 2H), 4.86-4.98 (m, 2H), 5.57-5.65 (m, 1H), 7.30-7.40 (m, 2H), 7.80–7.84 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ u (major) 214.7, 145.5, 132.3, 115.8, 75.7, 73.0, 34.2, 31.5, 31.4, 25.9; (minor) 212.8, 145.6, 132.2, 115.3, 74.8, 73.0, 38.0, 34.1, 31.9, 29.5; d (major) 137.4, 130.2, 128.1, 61.6, 43.4, 29.5, 23.5, 21.8, 19.7; (minor) 137.6, 130.2, 128.0, 55.8, 46.9, 29.5, $22.5,\,21.7,\,19.7;\,IR\,(cm^{-1})\,3508,\,1704,\,1598,\,1362,\,1176;\,HRMS$ calcd for $C_{21}H_{30}NaO_5S$ (M + Na) 417.1712, found 417.1720.

Mannich Cyclized Amine (4). The monotosylate 15 (150 mg, 0.38 mmol) and Sudan-III (1 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was chilled to -78 °C. Ozone was passed through the solution until the pink color faded, and then N_2 was passed through for 15 min. Dimethyl sulfide (0.056 mL, 0.74 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 2 h. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and transferred into a thick-wall tube. THF (1.4 mL) was added, and the mixture was purged with N₂ for 15 min. Ethylamine (70% H₂O solution, 5.6 mL) was added, and the tube was sealed and put into a 65 °C oil bath for 4 h. The mixture was cooled and and partitioned between H₂O and ethyl acetate. The combined organic extract was dried (Na_2SO_4) and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was transferred into a thick-wall tube (Aldrich), and Rexyn-300 (Fisher, 700 mg), Na₂SO₄ (563 mg), and o-xylene (2.8 mL) were added. The solution was purged with N_2 for 15 min, and then the tube was sealed and put into 170 °C oil bath for 8 h (safety shield!). The mixture was cooled, and Et₃N (1.5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h and filtered. The filter cake was washed with ethyl acetate, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to give the cyclized product 4 (30 mg, 0.12 mmol, 31% yield from 15) as a pale yellow oil: TLC $R_f = 0.45$ (50% Et₂O/petroleum ether); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.89 (d, $J = \hat{6}.9$ Hz, 3H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.16 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.43–1.53 (m, 3H), 1.66-1.82 (m, 3H), 1.96-2.05 (m, 2H), 2.21-2.33 (m, 5H), 2.51-2.58 (m, 1H), 2.69 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (d, J = 4.9Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ u 214.1, 69.6, 63.7, 58.1, 48.7, 40.2, 36.6, 24.1, 19.7; d 66.6, 49.5, 30.2, 18.1, 17.5, 13.0; IR (cm $^{-1})$ 3450, 1697, 1153, 1093; HRMS calcd for $C_{15}H_{26}\text{--}$ NO₂ (M + H) 252.1964, found 252.1965.

The uncyclized Mannich base **16** (10 mg, 0.037 mmol, 10% yield from **15**) was also isolated: TLC $R_f = 0.22$ (50% Et₂O/ petroleum ether); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.99 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.43–1.61 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.83 (m, 3H), 1.94–2.05 (m, 4H), 2.18–2.24 (m, 2H), 2.36–2.41 (m, 2H), 2.66–2.71 (m, 1H), 2.81–2.86 (m, 1H), 3.56 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ u 210.3, 81.5, 59.0, 52.0, 40.1, 35.8, 31.6, 23.7; d 93.3, 56.7, 43.9, 25.6, 20.6, 17.4, 14.6; IR (cm⁻¹) 3558, 1715, 1456, 1070; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₂₅NO₂ (M – H₂O) 251.1885, found 251.1874.

In a separate run, chromatography after the 65 °C heating gave 16 in 90% yield and 4 in 2% yield from 15. On exposure to the Rexyn-300 conditions, purified 16 gave a 30% yield of 4.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. John Dykins for recording mass spectra and the NIH (GM60287) for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: General experimental procedures, experimental procedures for the preparation of **7–10**, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO051155Y